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Lanthanum nickel niobium trioxide has been synthesized and its structure refined for the first time. It was found to be a member of the family of technologically important 'double perovskites', crystallizing in the monoclinic space group $P 2_{1} / n$. The structure is characterized by a strong orthorhombic pseudosymmetry and a concurrent exhibition of both $1: 1$ $B$-cation ordering and $a^{-} a^{-} c^{+}$-type tilting of the $(\mathrm{Ni} / \mathrm{Nb}) \mathrm{O}_{6}$ structural units. Trivalent lanthanum resides on the perovskite $A$ site, which is strongly distorted owing to the tilting of the $(\mathrm{Ni} / \mathrm{Nb}) \mathrm{O}_{6}$ sublattice. Ordering of divalent nickel and pentavalent niobium on the $B$ sublattice is described in terms of two twofold special positions ( $2 c$ and $2 d$ ), with nickel taking almost complete occupancy of the $2 d$ site and the $2 c$ position being occupied by a statistical distribution of nickel and niobium.

## Comment

Complex perovskites of the general stoichiometry $A\left(M_{1-x}^{\prime} M_{x}^{\prime \prime}\right) \mathrm{O}_{3}$ form a broad family of technologically and academically interesting materials. For convenience, the wider family can be split into the general compositions $A^{2+}\left(M_{1 / 3}^{2+} M_{2 / 3}^{5+}\right) \mathrm{O}_{3}, A^{3+}\left(M_{1 / 2}^{2+} M_{1 / 2}^{4+}\right) \mathrm{O}_{3}$ and $A^{3+}\left(M_{2 / 3}^{2+} M_{1 / 3}^{5+}\right) \mathrm{O}_{3}$, between which may exist regions of solid solubility. Although nominally all perovskite in structure, these may differ substantially in the exhibition of a number of structural modifications, most notably cation ordering and tilting of the $\mathrm{MO}_{6}$ octahedral units. Members of the family that show $B$-site ordering are of particular technological importance, finding widespread application in microwave electronics owing to their favourable dielectric loss or quality factors $Q$ (Reaney \& Iddles, 2006). For this reason, the $A^{2+}\left(M_{1 / 3}^{2+} M_{2 / 3}^{5+}\right) \mathrm{O}_{3}$ and $A^{3+}\left(M_{1 / 2}^{2+} M_{1 / 2}^{4+}\right) \mathrm{O}_{3}$ systems have attracted the greatest interest as they, respectively, correspond to stoichiometries ideal for $1: 2$ and $1: 1$ ordering of the $B$ sublattice. It is generally the case that systems showing 1:2 ordering have the highest $Q$ factors,
making such materials extremely attractive for telecommunications applications. Unfortunately, this form of ordering is relatively rare and extremely sensitive to composition. Even small deviations from the ideal $1: 2 B$-cation ratio cause the breakdown of long-range ordering. The $1: 1$ rock-salt-type ordering is far more common and relatively insensitive to composition, and whilst these forms do not show the same magnitude of $Q$ factor as the 1:2-ordered materials, their $Q$ factors are still very high. In systems with low tolerance factors (Goldschmidt, 1926), such as $\mathrm{La}\left(\mathrm{Mg}_{1 / 2} \mathrm{Ti}_{1 / 2}\right) \mathrm{O}_{3}$ (Lee et al., 2000; Salak et al., 2008) and $\mathrm{La}\left(\mathrm{Mg}_{2 / 3} \mathrm{Nb}_{1 / 3}\right) \mathrm{O}_{3}$ (Paik et al., 1999, 2003), the tilting of the $M \mathrm{O}_{6}$ octahedra is linked to a negative temperature coefficient of resonant frequency $\tau_{\mathrm{f}}$.

Except for $\mathrm{La}\left(\mathrm{Mg}_{2 / 3} \mathrm{Nb}_{1 / 3}\right) \mathrm{O}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{La}\left(\mathrm{Mg}_{2 / 3} \mathrm{Ta}_{1 / 3}\right) \mathrm{O}_{3}$ ( $\mathrm{Kim} \&$ Woodward, 2007), the $A^{3+}\left(M_{2 / 3}^{2+} M_{1 / 3}^{5+}\right) \mathrm{O}_{3}$ general composition has been very rarely investigated. The syntheses of several compounds of this type have been reported by both Blasse (1965) and Bazuev et al. (1986), with the latter reporting the structure of $\mathrm{La}\left(\mathrm{Ni}_{2 / 3} \mathrm{Nb}_{1 / 3}\right) \mathrm{O}_{3}$ in a $2^{1 / 2} a_{\mathrm{c}} \times 2^{1 / 2} a_{\mathrm{c}} \times 2 a_{\mathrm{c}}$ orthorhombic supercell of cubic perovskite with unit cell $a_{c}$. As for $\mathrm{La}\left(\mathrm{Mg}_{2 / 3} \mathrm{Nb}_{1 / 3}\right) \mathrm{O}_{3}$, a $1: 1$ ordering of the $B$ cations is suggested rather than the $2: 1$ form that the stoichiometry would suggest, but no further structural data were provided. In this communication, we present a detailed structural analysis of this compound.

A fitted neutron diffractogram of $\mathrm{La}\left(\mathrm{Ni}_{2 / 3} \mathrm{Nb}_{1 / 3}\right) \mathrm{O}_{3}$ is presented in Fig. 1, and its refined structure is depicted in Fig. 2. $\mathrm{La}\left(\mathrm{Ni}_{2 / 3} \mathrm{Nb}_{1 / 3}\right) \mathrm{O}_{3}$ is confirmed as an example of a $B$-siteordered perovskite with concomitant $a^{-} a^{-} c^{+}$-type tilting of the $M O_{6}$ octahedral units (Glazer, 1975). The refined unit-cell parameters are close to those described by Bazuev et al. (1986), and as with the isostructural $\mathrm{La}\left(\mathrm{Mg}_{2 / 3} \mathrm{Nb}_{1 / 3}\right) \mathrm{O}_{3}$ (Choi et al., 2000), $\beta$ is close to $90^{\circ}$ so the system can be regarded as pseudo-orthorhombic. A high degree of pseudosymmetry is normally observed in such systems, as the lowering of symmetry from orthorhombic to monoclinic does not arise from a distortion of the unit cell from orthogonality, but from the concurrent exhibition of both $a^{-} a^{-} c^{+}$-type octahedral tilting and cation ordering, which cannot be described in orthorhombic symmetry (Howard et al., 2003).


Figure 1
A fitted neutron powder diffractogram for $\mathrm{La}\left(\mathrm{Ni}_{2 / 3} \mathrm{Nb}_{1 / 3}\right) \mathrm{O}_{3}$. The data shown are from the $91.3^{\circ}$ bank.

As expected from the stoichiometry, lanthanum completely occupies the larger $A$ site of the perovskite structure. A calculated tolerance factor of 0.94 for the compound (Goldschmidt, 1926) agrees well with the observed symmetry and $M \mathrm{O}_{6}$ tilting (Barnes et al., 2006), indicating that lanthanum is too small to take the ideal 12 -fold coordination in $\mathrm{La}\left(\mathrm{Ni}_{2 / 3}{ }^{-}\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{Nb}_{1 / 3}\right) \mathrm{O}_{3}$. The position is thus distorted via the combination of $M \mathrm{O}_{6}$ tilting and an antiparallel shift of the lanthanum $4 e$ position approximately along the [010] monoclinic direction. Such shifts often accompany $\mathrm{MO}_{6}$ octahedral tilting and allow a more favourable $A$-cation coordination environment, as $A-\mathrm{O}$ bond distances become shorter with increasing tilt angles (Woodward, 1997). Lanthanum in the title compound thus occupies a position with four of the $12 \mathrm{La}-\mathrm{O}$ bonds considerably shortened (Table 1). The average length of these $\mathrm{La}-\mathrm{O}$ distances is 2.46 (7) $\AA$, which agrees well with values found for isostructural materials, such as $\mathrm{La}\left(\mathrm{Mg}_{2 / 3} \mathrm{Nb}_{1 / 3}\right) \mathrm{O}_{3}$ (Choi et al., 2000), $\mathrm{Ca}\left(\mathrm{Mg}_{1 / 2} \mathrm{~W}_{1 / 2}\right) \mathrm{O}_{3}$ (Yang et al., 2003) and $\mathrm{La}\left(\mathrm{Mg}_{1 / 2} \mathrm{Ti}_{1 / 2}\right) \mathrm{O}_{3}$ (Lee et al., 2000).

The octahedrally coordinated $B$-cation position is split into two twofold sites ( $2 c$ and $2 d$ of the $P 2_{1} / n$ space group), giving a 1:1 rock-salt-type ordering. Nickel takes $\sim 90 \%$ occupancy of the $2 d$ position, with the stoichiometry then dictating mixed occupancy of the $2 c$ site. In this way, the difference in formal charges between the sites is maximized. As would be expected from the relative sizes of $\mathrm{Ni}^{2+}(0.69 \AA)$ and $\mathrm{Nb}^{5+}(0.64 \AA)$ (Shannon, 1976), the $2 d$ position is slightly larger than $2 c$ (Table 1), with average bond distances of 2.021 (9) and 2.038 (8) $\AA$ for $2 c-\mathrm{O}$ and $2 d-\mathrm{O}$, respectively. Both octahedral positions show slight distortions due to the tilting phenomenon, with $\mathrm{O}-2 c-\mathrm{O}$ angles in the range 87.8 (2)92.2 (2) ${ }^{\circ}$ and $\mathrm{O}-2 d-\mathrm{O}$ angles in the range 88.1 (1)-91.9 (1) ${ }^{\circ}$. Given the similar sizes of $\mathrm{Ni}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{Nb}^{5+}$ and similar $2 c$ - and $2 d$ site environments, it can be concluded that the ordering is driven by electrostatic rather than steric considerations.


Figure 2
The refined structure of $\mathrm{La}\left(\mathrm{Ni}_{2 / 3} \mathrm{Nb}_{1 / 3}\right) \mathrm{O}_{3}$ projected on to the (010) plane. La atoms are shown as spheres (the ordered $2 c$ and $2 d$ octahedrally coordinated cation sites, respectively, are shown in brown and grey in the electronic version of the paper).

## Experimental

$\mathrm{La}\left(\mathrm{Ni}_{2 / 3} \mathrm{Nb}_{1 / 3}\right) \mathrm{O}_{3}$ powder was synthesized via a polymeric route derived from the work of Pechini (1967). Stoichiometric quantities of reagent grade $\mathrm{La}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{3} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{Ni}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{NbCl}_{5}$ were dissolved in deionized water. Citric acid and ethylene glycol were then added, and the solution was heated at 393 K for 5 h with constant stirring. In accordance with previous studies (Fontaine et al., 2006), the cation/citric acid ratio was kept above 2 to obtain homogeneous sols. Burnout of organic components was performed in air at 673 K . The resulting grey powder was then fired in air at 1623 K for 2 h , with heating and cooling rates of $100 \mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~h}^{-1}$.

## Crystal data

$\mathrm{LaNb}_{0.33} \mathrm{Ni}_{0.67} \mathrm{O}_{3}$
$M_{r}=257.00$
Monoclinic, $P 2_{1} / n$
$a=5.5823$ (2) $\AA$
$b=5.6194$ (2) $\AA$
$c=7.9045$ ( 3 ) $\AA$
$\beta=90.000(9)^{\circ}$
$V=247.96(2) \AA^{3}$
$Z=4$
Neutron radiation
$T=295 \mathrm{~K}$
Specimen shape: cylinder
Specimen prepared at 101.3 kPa
Specimen prepared at 1623 K
Particle morphology: powder, grey

## Data collection

ISIS GEM diffractometer
Specimen mounting: packed powder

Specimen mounted in transmission mode
Scan method: time of flight

## Refinement

$R_{\mathrm{p}}=0.055$

## 75 parameters

Preferred orientation correction: none
$R_{\mathrm{wp}}=0.049$
$S=1.26$
Profile function: convolution of the Ikeda-Carpenter and pseudoVoight functions

Table 1
Selected geometric parameters ( $\left(\mathrm{A},{ }^{\circ}\right.$ ).

| La1-O6 | 2.455 (5) | Ni3-O6 | 2.043 (4) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| La1-O6 ${ }^{\text {i }}$ | 2.730 (4) | $\mathrm{Ni} 3-\mathrm{O6}^{\text {viii }}$ | 2.043 (4) |
| $\mathrm{La} 1-\mathrm{O} 6^{\text {ii }}$ | 2.728 (5) | $\mathrm{Ni} 3-\mathrm{O}^{\text {vi }}$ | 2.043 (4) |
| La1-O6 ${ }^{\text {iii }}$ | 3.362 (5) | $\mathrm{Ni} 3-\mathrm{O} 7^{\text {ii }}$ | 2.043 (4) |
| La1-O7 | 2.636 (5) | $\mathrm{Ni} 3-\mathrm{O}^{\text {vi }}$ | 2.028 (8) |
| $\mathrm{La} 1-\mathrm{O}^{\text {iv }}$ | 2.422 (5) | $\mathrm{Ni} 3-\mathrm{O} 8^{\text {ii }}$ | 2.028 (8) |
| $\mathrm{La} 1-\mathrm{O} 7^{\text {ii }}$ | 3.396 (5) | Nb4-O6 | 2.009 (4) |
| $\mathrm{La} 1-\mathrm{O}^{\mathrm{v}}$ | 2.835 (5) | $\mathrm{Nb} 4-\mathrm{O} 6^{\text {ix }}$ | 2.009 (4) |
| $\mathrm{La} 1-\mathrm{O}^{\text {vi }}$ | 3.1436 (13) | Nb4-O7 | 2.029 (4) |
| La1-O8 | 2.5597 (14) | $\mathrm{Nb} 4-\mathrm{O} 7^{\text {ix }}$ | 2.029 (4) |
| La1-O8 ${ }^{\text {iv }}$ | 3.1946 (21) | $\mathrm{Nb} 4-\mathrm{O} 8^{\text {iv }}$ | 2.024 (8) |
| La1-O8 ${ }^{\text {vii }}$ | 2.4196 (21) | $\mathrm{Nb} 4-\mathrm{O} 8^{\text {x }}$ | 2.024 (8) |
| $\mathrm{O} 6-\mathrm{Ni} 3-\mathrm{O}^{\text {vi }}$ | 89.99 (18) | O6-Nb4-O7 | 87.83 (18) |
| $\mathrm{O} 6-\mathrm{Ni} 3-\mathrm{O} 7^{\mathrm{ii}}$ | 90.01 (18) | $\mathrm{O} 6-\mathrm{Nb} 4-\mathrm{O} 7^{\text {ix }}$ | 92.17 (18) |
| $\mathrm{O} 6-\mathrm{Ni} 3-\mathrm{OB}^{\text {vi }}$ | 91.62 (10) | $\mathrm{O} 6-\mathrm{Nb} 4-\mathrm{O}^{\text {iv }}$ | 90.93 (9) |
| $\mathrm{O} 6-\mathrm{Ni} 3-\mathrm{O}^{\text {ii }}$ | 88.38 (10) | $\mathrm{O} 6-\mathrm{Nb} 4-\mathrm{O} 8^{\mathrm{xi}}$ | 89.07 (9) |
| $\mathrm{O} 6^{\text {viii }}-\mathrm{Ni} 3-\mathrm{O} 7^{\text {vi }}$ | 90.01 (18) | $\mathrm{O} 6^{\mathrm{ix}}-\mathrm{Nb} 4-\mathrm{O} 7$ | 92.17 (18) |
| $\mathrm{O} 6^{\text {viii }}-\mathrm{Ni} 3-\mathrm{O} 7^{\text {ii }}$ | 89.99 (18) | $\mathrm{O} 6^{\text {ix }}-\mathrm{Nb} 4-\mathrm{O} 7^{\text {ix }}$ | 87.83 (18) |
| $\mathrm{O} 6^{\text {viii }}-\mathrm{Ni} 3-\mathrm{O}^{\text {vi }}$ | 88.38 (10) | $\mathrm{O} 6^{\text {ix }}-\mathrm{Nb} 4-\mathrm{O}^{\text {iv }}$ | 89.07 (9) |
| $\mathrm{O} 6^{\text {viii }}-\mathrm{Ni} 3-O 8{ }^{\text {ii }}$ | 91.62 (10) | $\mathrm{O} 6^{\text {ix }}-\mathrm{Nb} 4-\mathrm{O}^{\text {xi }}$ | 90.93 (9) |
| $\mathrm{O} 7^{\mathrm{vi}}-\mathrm{Ni} 3-\mathrm{O}^{\text {vi }}$ | 88.07 (11) | $\mathrm{O} 7-\mathrm{Nb} 4-\mathrm{O} 8^{\text {iv }}$ | 88.93 (10) |
| $\mathrm{O} 7^{\mathrm{vi}}-\mathrm{Ni} 3-\mathrm{O}^{\text {ii }}$ | 91.93 (11) | $\mathrm{O} 7-\mathrm{Nb} 4-\mathrm{O} 8^{\text {xi }}$ | 91.07 (10) |
| $\mathrm{O} 7^{\mathrm{ii}}-\mathrm{Ni} 3-\mathrm{O} 8^{\text {vi }}$ | 91.93 (11) | $\mathrm{O} 7^{\mathrm{ix}}-\mathrm{Nb} 4-\mathrm{O} 8^{\text {iv }}$ | 91.07 (10) |
| $\mathrm{O} 7^{\mathrm{ii}}-\mathrm{Ni} 3-\mathrm{O} 8^{\text {ii }}$ | 88.07 (11) | $\mathrm{O} 7^{\mathrm{ix}}-\mathrm{Nb} 4-\mathrm{O} 8^{\mathrm{xi}}$ | 88.93 (10) |

[^0]X-ray powder diffraction data were collected in a $2 \theta$ range of $15-$ $100^{\circ}$ using a Siemens D5005 diffractometer equipped with a $\mathrm{Cu} \mathrm{K} \alpha$ source and Si monochromator. Neutron powder diffractograms were collected using the GEM powder diffractometer at the ISIS facility, Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, England. Reflection positions were calculated using the WinPLOTR (Roisnel \& RodríguezCarvajal, 2001) software package. Observed reflections from both X-ray and neutron data were combined and indexed using the DICVOL software (Boultif \& Louër, 2004), with candidate space groups searched both manually and with the Chekcell software (Laugier \& Bochu, 2001).

Good figures of merit were obtained for indexing of 47 observed reflections on an orthorhombic cell $(\mathrm{M} 20=140$, F20 $=157)$ corresponding to that of a $2^{1 / 2} a_{\mathrm{c}} \times 2^{1 / 2} a_{\mathrm{c}} \times 2 a_{\mathrm{c}}$ perovskite supercell (where $a_{\mathrm{c}}$ is the cell edge of the cubic aristotype). Extinctions were found for $h 0 l: h+l=2 n+1, h 00: h=2 n+1,0 k 0: k=2 n+1$ and $00 l: l=2 n+1$. We note here the importance of including both data sets in the indexing process, as an additional extinction was observed for 0 kl : $k+l=2 n+1$ in the X-ray data but not in the neutron data. The observed extinctions indicate $\mathrm{Pmn2}_{1}$ or $P m n m$ as orthorhombic symmetry choices, though the extinction for $0 k 0: k=2 n+1$ is not required for this assignment. Indexing on a monoclinic cell gave lower figures of merit ( $\mathrm{M} 20=25, \mathrm{~F} 20=31$ ), with extinctions at $h 0 l$ : $h+l=2 n+1$ and $0 k 0: k=2 n+1$ indicating $P 2_{1} / n$ as a possible space group. From group theory considerations (Woodward, 1997), the assignment of Pmnm should correspond to a $2 a_{\mathrm{c}} \times 2 a_{\mathrm{c}} \times 2 a_{\mathrm{c}}$ perovskite supercell, and our data unambiguously index as $2^{1 / 2} a_{\mathrm{c}} \times$ $2^{1 / 2} a_{\mathrm{c}} \times 2 a_{\mathrm{c}}$. Following the group theory work of Stokes et al. (2002), the assignment of $P m n 2_{1}$ corresponds to a $2^{1 / 2} a_{\mathrm{c}} \times 2^{1 / 2} a_{\mathrm{c}} \times 2 a_{\mathrm{c}}$ ferroelectric perovskite modification with possible $A$-site ordering. However, this is a very rarely reported perovskite form and is not expected given the composition of the material. Polymorphs with two distinct crystallographic $A$ sites are expected to have two different $A$ cations (Woodward, 1997). In general, double perovskites of the type $A\left(M_{1-x}^{\prime} M_{x}^{\prime \prime}\right) \mathrm{O}_{3}$ crystallize in one of two forms: an orthorhombic polymorph (space group Pbnm) with $a^{-} a^{-} c^{+}$-type octahedral tilting, and a monoclinic ( $P 2_{1} / n$ ) polymorph, which additionally exhibits 1:1 rock-salt-type ordering of the $B$-sublattice cations. Both orthorhombic $P m n 2_{1}$ and monoclinic $P 2_{1} / n$ polymorphs were tested via Rietveld refinement, and the former was found to be unstable to refinement, with a strong correlation between O -atom positions and between La-atom positions. Accordingly, the monoclinic form was assigned.

Rietveld refinement was performed using the GSAS software suite (Larson et al., 2000). Data from banks 3-6 (positioned at 34.96, 63.62, 91.3 and $154.4^{\circ}$, respectively) were included in a multi-histogram refinement. Two peak profile parameters, zero shift and an eightparameter Chebyshev-type background function, were included in the refinement in addition to lattice parameters, atomic coordinates and isotropic displacement parameters for all sites. Cation ordering on the $B$ sublattice was included in the refinement, though with the
overall stoichiometry constrained to maintain the nominal $\mathrm{La}\left(\mathrm{Ni}_{2 / 3}{ }^{-}\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{Nb}_{1 / 3}\right) \mathrm{O}_{3}$ composition.

Program(s) used to solve structure: WINPLOTR (Roisnel \& Rodríguez-Carvajal, 2001), DICVOL (Boultif \& Louër, 2004) and Chekcell (Laugier \& Bochu, 2001); program(s) used to refine structure: GSAS (Larson \& Von Dreele, 2000); molecular graphics: ATOMS (Dowty, 2002); software used to prepare material for publication: GSAS.
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Supplementary data for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: LG3004). Services for accessing these data are described at the back of the journal.
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[^0]:    Symmetry codes: (i) $-x+\frac{1}{2}, y+\frac{1}{2},-z+\frac{1}{2}$; (ii) $-x+1,-y+1,-z$; (iii) $x+\frac{1}{2},-y+\frac{1}{2}$, $z+\frac{1}{2}$; (iv) $-x+\frac{1}{2}, y-\frac{1}{2},-z+\frac{1}{2}$; (v) $x+\frac{1}{2},-y+\frac{3}{2}, z+\frac{1}{2}$; (vi) $x, y-1, z$; (vii) $-x+\frac{3}{2}$, $y-\frac{1}{2},-z+\frac{1}{2}$; (viii) $-x+1,-y,-z$; (ix) $-x,-y+1,-z$; (x) $x-\frac{1}{2},-y+\frac{3}{2}, z-\frac{1}{2}$; (xi) $x+\frac{1}{2},-y+\frac{5}{2}, z+\frac{1}{2}$.

